

**TECHNICAL NOTE****ANTHROPOLOGY**

Veronica L. Vance,<sup>1,2</sup> Ph.D.; Maryna Steyn,<sup>1</sup> M.D., Ph.D.; and Ericka N. L'Abbé,<sup>1</sup> Ph.D.

## Nonmetric Sex Determination from the Distal and Posterior Humerus in Black and White South Africans

**ABSTRACT:** The successful identification of human skeletal remains relies on proven diagnostic techniques for sex determination. This research utilized 608 individuals from South Africa (420 men, 188 women) to conduct a blind nonmetric determination of sex from three features of the distal humerus: olecranon fossa shape, angle of the medial epicondyle, and trochlear extension. A scoring system between males and females was implemented, and the aggregate score of the three features determined the estimated sex of the skeletal element in question. With all features combined, black and white South Africans were categorized successfully as either male or female 75.5% (77% accuracy rate for females, 74% accuracy rate for males). This classification rate is lower than what was found in previous studies, but suggests that characteristics of the distal humerus are still quite valuable when estimating skeletal sex. More research is needed to assess reasons for the differential expression of these traits in different populations and to determine whether the method is nonpopulation specific.

**KEYWORDS:** forensic science, forensic anthropology, sex determination, distal humerus, sexual dimorphism, South Africa

Sexual dimorphism, or the characteristic physical differences expressed between males and females, can be used to further medico-legal (forensic) and osteological investigations (1–9). Nonmetric (visual) differences between men and women manifest themselves in numerous arrangements throughout both the axial and appendicular skeleton with the cranium and pelvis being the most reliable indicators of skeletal sex. For example, when researchers recover remains that include an intact cranium and pelvis, visual morphological interpretation can be quite accurate for assigning a specific sex to the remains. Other postcranial skeletal elements can be used as well and are utilized consistently when cranial and pelvic bones are absent or fragmentary (10–12). New and innovative nonmetric techniques in establishing skeletal sex of unknown remains continue to be a subject worthy of exploration, and researchers have continued to search for more comprehensive, precise, and accurate methods of sex determination to augment existing practices.

The metric characteristics of the humerus have been studied frequently to set standards for the determination of sex (13–18) and have in general provided high classification accuracies. This indicates that size differences exist between male and female humeri. Morphological differences of the distal humerus have also been studied, but to a lesser extent (19–23). The elbow joint is known to be sexually dimorphic because of differences in the carrying angle of the articulated humerus, radius, and ulna (24–26). The lateral deviation of the human forearm from the axis of the humerus is

distinctive between men and women, and each sex forms deviating angles that can be construed as sexually dimorphic (10–15° in men, 20–25° in women, Rogers [19]).

The differences in the carrying angles between men and women imply that other observable differences may also exist in the distal humerus, which have been demonstrated in a recent study by Kranjoti et al. (21). These authors used geometric morphometrics to study shape differences in the proximal and distal ends of the humerus. They found that in women, the greater tubercle of the humerus is smoother, with a less pronounced superior border. Women have a relatively squared distal epiphysis, whereas those of men are more rectangular. Men tend to have relatively shorter humeri with more voluminous distal epiphyses. They also have a relatively wider lateral trochlea and smaller capitulum.

Visual differences in the distal humerus have been used by Rogers (19) who ultimately employed four characteristics to develop a new method of determining sex, with average accuracies ranging from 74% to 91%. When using a combination of characteristics, accuracies of up to 94% were obtained. In addition, Rogers (20) assigned sex to juvenile and adolescent humeri with 80% accuracy in males and 82% accuracy in females. These results indicate that the carrying angle of the arm and its distinct features related to the distal humerus develop early and may be independent of activity level or occupational stressors, which could, in adulthood, modify the morphology of this portion of the humerus.

The aim of this study was to use morphological characteristics of the distal humerus to test their usability to determine the sex of unknown skeletal remains from South Africa. Because postcranial elements, such as the humerus, tend to survive in the field quite well, the understanding of sexually dimorphic characteristics in this element would benefit forensic anthropologists and osteologists alike. Thus, if the morphology of the distal humerus can be used to

<sup>1</sup>Forensic Anthropology Research Centre, Department of Anatomy, University of Pretoria, P.O. Box 2034, Pretoria 0001, South Africa.

<sup>2</sup>Oregon State Police Forensic Services Division/Portland Metro Laboratory, 13309 SE 84th Avenue Suite 200, Clackamas, OR 97015.

Received 24 Dec. 2009; and in revised form 7 April 2010; accepted 15 May 2010.

determine sex, it would complement the already existing standards for osteometric determination of sex with this skeletal element.

**Methods and Materials**

The skeletal sample in this study originated from the Pretoria Bone Collection at the Department of Anatomy, University of Pretoria (27) and the Raymond A. Dart Collection at the University of Witwatersrand (28). The Pretoria Bone Collection was established in partnership with the Department of Anatomy and the Medical School at the University of Pretoria, South Africa in 1987. All individuals, being both donated and unclaimed by relatives, are received as cadaver teaching specimens first, then macerated, and stored in acid-free boxes for research purposes. The collection houses several biologically distinct groups of individuals, the most common being black South African males. Also represented are black South African females and white South African males and females. Bodies are acquired by either direct donation or as an unclaimed specimen. Ages within the sample ranged from 19 to 94 (mean age for men 56 years, mean age for women 53 years). The humeri of 420 adult men and 188 adult women were used in this study. Unfortunately, this uneven sex distribution is typical of many modern skeletal collections obtained from cadavers (27). The sample included a random collection of both white and black South Africans of all socioeconomic backgrounds.

Three visual indicators of sex in the distal and posterior humerus were used to determine skeletal sex. They were previously defined by Rogers (19) as “angle of the medial epicondyle,” “olecranon fossa shape,” and “trochlear extension” (Table 1, Figs 1–3).

TABLE 1—Nonmetric distal humerus characteristics for men and women (based on Rogers [19]).

Characteristics	Male	Female
Olecranon fossa shape	The fossa appears roughly triangular	The fossa appears oval
Angle of the medial epicondyle	The medial epicondyle extends parallel to the table (or exhibits a slight angle) when placed posterior side up	The medial epicondyle clearly angles upward away from the parallel plane of the tabletop surface when placed posterior side up
Trochlear extension	Medial edge of the trochlea extends further distally than does the lateral edge	Distal extension of the medial and lateral edges of the female trochlea is almost equal; more symmetrical in shape




FIG. 1—(a) Triangular olecranon fossa shape observed in males. (b) The oval olecranon fossa shape in females.

Trochlear constriction, a fourth characteristic used by Rogers, was discarded early on in this analysis as it was difficult to score consistently and yielded poor results. Data on left humeri were used for analysis.

A 5-graded scoring system was employed to categorize individuals as either “male” or “female” in morphology. The designation of a [1] or a [2] indicated a male specimen, with [1] being clearly male and [2] being cautiously male. A score of [3] was considered ambiguous, and [4] as cautiously female and [5] as distinctly female. The combined total of the numerical values were used to make an estimation of sex as a whole. A particular humerus could therefore have a maximum score of 15, being hyper feminine. A total score of 3–8 was diagnosed as man, 9 as ambiguous, and 10–15 as female. The percentage of males and females correctly classified, incorrectly classified, and ambiguous were then calculated.




FIG. 2—(a) Angle of the medial epicondyle observed in males. The angle appears parallel to the table on which the humerus rests. (b) Angle of the medial epicondyle observed in females. The angle appears to project upward from the table on which the humerus rests.




FIG. 3—(a) Trochlear extension observed in males. The trochlea extends well past the margin of the capitulum. (b) Trochlear extension/relative symmetry observed in females. The trochlea is more symmetrical with the margin of the capitulum.

An independent observer was utilized to determine whether the nonmetric characteristics of the distal humerus were apparent enough to reproduce accurate statistical results. The intra-observer subject was tasked assess 11 male specimens and 20 female specimens, using the physical characteristic criteria of the distal and posterior humerus provided.

## Results

Table 2 documents the overall accuracy of skeletal sex determination from the distal and posterior humerus. All specimens exhibiting a majority of male characteristics were placed in the table under scores 3–8 (M). Specimens with scores indicating female characteristics were categorized as female and placed in the table under scores 10–15 (F). Some specimens exhibited an equal number of male and female characteristics and were ultimately categorized as score 9, or ambiguous.

Table 3 shows the detailed performance of each of the three characteristics, using the original 5-point scale. When taken in isolation, the angle of the medial epicondyle was seen as the most accurate trait with 70% classification accuracy in males (scores of 1 and 2 combined) and 55% in females (scores of 4 and 5 combined). The olecranon fossa shape was the next most accurate trait (57% accuracy in males, 61% accuracy in females), while trochlear extension proved the least accurate of the three traits observed (45% accurate in males, 56% accurate in females). Clearly, a combination of the characteristics gave better results than any single characteristic. Females were scored more accurately in all traits, and male specimens were more often misclassified as females than their female counterparts were misclassified as males.

Originally, trochlear constriction was also included in the assessment. When this trait was incorporated, males were classified with an accuracy rate of 62% (in contrast to 74% with three characteristics), and female estimated sex was accurate at 72% (in contrast to 77% with three characteristics). The removal of the trait trochlear

constriction from the data thus allowed for the correct assignment of a total of 60 otherwise ambiguous or misclassified cases. The three remaining humeral traits resulted in the classification of skeletal sex being equivalent to other anthropological methods used for nonmetric sex determination.

An independent observer was utilized to determine whether the nonmetric characteristics of the distal humerus could be scored consistently. This observer's accuracy rate with each trait in isolation was consistent with that of the original researcher; the independent observer categorized the angle of the medial epicondyle correctly more often than the other two traits used (olecranon fossa shape and trochlear extension). When asked to ascertain a final determination of sex from the distal humerus based on the combination scoring for all three traits, the independent observer was 91% accurate with male humeri and 75% accurate with female humeri.

## Discussion

In this study, traits from the distal and posterior humerus were used to determine sex. Morphology of the elbow joint has shown sexually dimorphic traits because of more distinct valgus angulation in women in comparison with men (26). The lateral deviation of the human forearm from the axis of the humerus is distinctive between men and women, being about 10–15° in men and 20–25° in women (19). The soft tissue anatomy of the ulnar collateral ligament (which originates on the medial epicondyle and inserts on the medial aspect of the coronoid process of the ulna) as well as the hard tissue anatomy of the trochlear spool changes this carrying angle during elbow flexion. Hard tissue morphology relating to the ulnar collateral ligament and the trochlear spool should therefore exhibit differences between men and women which have been presented here. The medial epicondyle is obviously the origin of ligaments that preserve the carrying angle; the olecranon fossa shape is the receptacle for the olecranon process superiorly and is connected to the coronoid process inferiorly; and trochlear extension is an expansion of the trochlear spool and the articulation between the trochlea and the coronoid process. All of these anatomical soft tissue characteristics correlate to hard tissue characteristics and should be sexually dimorphic.

Average accuracies of 75.5% obtained in this study were lower than those reported by Rogers ([19], average 88.6% accuracy) and also those found in the study by Wanek ([23], average 84% accuracy). Similar to both previous approaches, the features of the distal and posterior humerus were combined to obtain the best possible results. The Rogers (19) study found 100% accuracy for females and 85.7% accuracy for males (average 88.6%) when using a combination of characteristics. These accuracies increased to 94% (93%

TABLE 2—Distribution of classification, all men and women, estimated sex.

	Male Score 3–8 (%)	Ambiguous Score 9 (%)	Female Score 10–15 (%)	Total
Sex				
Male	310 (74)	24 (6)	86 (20)	420
Female	33 (17)	10 (5)	145 (77)	188
Total	343	34	231	N = 608

Pearson's chi-square value = 182.593, d.f. = 2, sig. (two-sided)  $p < 0.001$ .

TABLE 3—Distribution of classification and chi-square significance, all males and females (total N = 608). Estimated sex under "1 (M)" and "5 (F)" represents a total of the [1] and [2] "estimated sex" determinations for males, and [4] and [5] "estimated sex" determinations for females.

	Male (N = 420)					Female (N = 188)					Pearson's Chi-square	d.f.	Sig. (two-tailed)
	1 (M)	2	3	4	5 (F)	1 (M)	2	3	4	5 (F)			
Epicondyle angle	153 (36%)	144 (34%)	22 (5%)	76 (18%)	25 (6%)	24 (6%)	49 (26%)	12 (6%)	64 (34%)	39 (21%)	69.388	4	<b>0.000*</b>
Olecranon fossa shape	70 (17%)	170 (40%)	79 (19%)	86 (20%)	15 (4%)	4 (2%)	36 (19%)	34 (18%)	67 (36%)	47 (25%)	110.370	4	<b>0.000*</b>
Trochlear extension	55 (13%)	135 (32%)	77 (18%)	137 (33%)	16 (4%)	12 (6%)	40 (21%)	31 (16%)	79 (42%)	26 (14%)	32.994	4	<b>0.000*</b>
Estimated sex	310 (74%)		24 (6%)		86 (20%)	33 (18%)		10 (5%)		145 (77%)	182.593	2	<b>0.000*</b>

\*Significant at  $<0.001$ .

for males) when the olecranon fossa shape was used in indeterminate cases. When employing geometric morphometrics to assess differences in the distal humerus, Kranjoti et al. (21) found 74.2–89.7% accuracy in sex determination for a Cretan population. However, shape differences alone provided a 74.2% accuracy that is comparable to the results from this study.

Generally speaking, methods yielding accuracies above 80% are regarded as usable (19). Results from our study thus indicate that shape differences in the distal humerus may be usable but would not be a method of choice if other remains are available. Regardless, traits of the humerus were expressed as reasonably sexually dimorphic and comparable with other anthropological methods used for visual or metric sex classification (19,23,29–32).

Several possible reasons exist for the observed differences in accuracies. As the sample size in this study is quite large, the variation present increased and thus ultimately resulted in lower classification accuracies. It is possible that the South African population may be less sexually dimorphic in this anatomical location, but it may also simply be that these characteristics are not so consistent in this particular population, and may be influenced by genetics, hard labor, osteoporosis, and general bodybuild. In addition, Wanek's study (23) employed humeri from seven different biological populations; accuracy in sex determination ranged from 78% (American Blacks) to 94% (Aleutians, Chinese). These results indicate that features seen in the distal humerus exhibit distinct differences between males and females, populations vary in the discernible expression of these traits, and the traits may be considered nonpopulation specific. Finally, white South Africans have shown unusual and variable results (such as the misclassification of white males as females) in research conducted on distinctly sexually dimorphic skeletal elements, such as the os coxae (33). More research on additional populations is necessary to better assess the possibilities of using this feature solely for sex determination.

## Conclusions

Allocation of sex based on the percentage of correct assignments showed the delineation between male and female morphology in the distal humerus. Examination of features from the distal and posterior humerus resulted in reasonably accurate classification rates with both males and females when utilizing a suite of three visual traits (angle of the medial epicondyle, olecranon fossa shape, and trochlear extension). All of these characteristics in isolation were not particularly accurate in predicting sex on their own. However, when used in conjunction with each other as an amalgamated recognition of sex, all men were classified with a 74% accuracy rate, while all women were classified accurately 77% of the time. This was accurate enough (and statistically significant enough) to deem these characteristics sexually dimorphic, indicating that visual traits of the distal humerus may be used in conjunction with other nonmetric and metric techniques for sex determination.

## Acknowledgments

The entire academic staff of the Department of Anatomy, University of Pretoria, was helpful and supportive in the preparation of this study. Marius Loots provided excellent and consistent technical assistance, and several fellow colleagues improved this South African study with their skills, insight, and revisions. Natalie Keough, Renee Botha, Jolandie Myburgh, Yvette Scholtz, Louisa Hutten, Malebo Marabene, Marinda Pretorius, Dr. Johan Schutte, Lida van der Merwe, Retha van der Merwe, and Jana van der Merwe all provided guidance and

support when needed. The first author also offers sincere thanks to the Oregon State Police Forensic Services Division for their support of these studies.

## References

- Bass W. Human osteology: a laboratory and field manual. Columbia, MO: Missouri Archaeological Society, 1995.
- Camacho FJF, Pellico LG, Rodriguez RF. Osteometry of the human iliac crest: patterns of normality and its utility in sexing human remains. *J Forensic Sci* 1993;38:779–87.
- Dibennardo R, Taylor JV. Multiple discriminant function analysis of sex and race in the postcranial skeleton. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1983;61:305–14.
- McCormick WF, Stewart JH. Ossification patterns of costal cartilages as an indicator of sex. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1983;107:206–10.
- Miller KWP, Walker PL, O'Halloran RL. Age and sex-related variation in hyoid bone morphology. *J Forensic Sci* 1998;43:1138–43.
- Navani S, Shah JR, Levy PS. Determination of sex by costal cartilage calcification. *Harvard J Prev Med* 1970;108:771–4.
- Tagaya A. Development of a generalized discriminant function for cross-population determination of sex from long bones of the arm and leg. *Can Soc Forens Sci J* 1989;22:159–75.
- Tarli SMB, Repetto E. Methodological considerations on the study of sexual dimorphism in past human populations. *Hum Evol* 1986;1:51–66.
- White TD, Folkens PA. Human osteology. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 2000.
- France DL. Osteometry and muscle origin and insertion in sex determination. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1998;76:515–26.
- Steele DG, Bramblett CA. The anatomy and biology of the human skeleton. College Station, TX: Texas A&M University Press, 1988.
- Woodard HQ. The elementary composition of human cortical bone. *Health Phys* 1962;8:513–7.
- Holman DJ, Bennet KA. Determination of sex from arm bone measurements. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1991;84:421–6.
- Saunders SR, Hoppa RD. Sex allocation from long bone measurements using logistic regression. *Can Soc Forens Sci J* 1997;30(2):49–60.
- Stewart TD. Sex determination of the skeleton by guess and by measurement. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1954;12:385–92.
- Stewart TD. Essentials of forensic anthropology. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas, 1979.
- Steyn M, Iscan MY. Osteometric variation in the humerus: sexual dimorphism in South Africans. *Forensic Sci Int* 1999;106(2):77–85.
- Tanaka H, Lestrel PE, Uetake T, Kato S, Ohtsuki F. Sex differences in proximal humeral outline shape: elliptical fourier functions. *J Forensic Sci* 2000;45(2):292–302.
- Rogers TL. A visual method of determining the sex of skeletal remains using the distal humerus. *J Forensic Sci* 1999;44(1):57–60.
- Rogers TL. Sex determination of adolescent skeletons using the distal humerus. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2009;140:143–8.
- Kranjoti EF, Bastir M, Sánchez-Meseguer A, Rosas A. A geometric morphometric study of the Cretan humerus for sex identification. *Forensic Sci Int* 2009;189(1–3):111.e1–8.
- Vance VL. Age related changes in the post-cranial human skeleton and its implication for the determination of sex [Ph.D. dissertation]. Pretoria, South Africa: Department of Anatomy, University of Pretoria, 2007.
- Wanek V. A qualitative analysis for sex determination in humans utilizing posterior and medial aspects of the distal humerus [M.A. dissertation]. Portland, OR: Portland State University, 2002.
- Grabner MD. The elbow and radioulnar joints. London, UK: Lea and Febiger, 1989.
- Fuss FK. The ulnar collateral ligament of the human elbow joint. *J Anat* 1991;175:203–12.
- Kingston B. Understanding joints: a practical guide to their structure and function. Cheltenham, UK: Stanley Thomas Ltd., 2000.
- L'Abbé EN, Loots M, Meiring JH. The Pretoria Bone Collection: a modern South African skeletal sample. *Homo* 2005;56:197–205.
- Dayal MR, Kegley ADT, Strkalj G, Bidmos MA, Kuykendall KL. The history and composition of the Raymond A. Dart Collection of Human Skeletons at the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2009;140(2):324–35.
- Albanese J, Cardoso HFV, Saunders SR. Universal methodology for developing univariate sample-specific sex determination methods: an example using the epicondylar breadth of the humerus. *J Archaeol Sci* 2005;32:143–52.

30. Allen JC, Bruce MF, MacLaughlin SM. Sex determination from the radius in humans. *Hum Evol* 1987;2:373-8.
31. Ubelaker DH, Volk CG. A test of the phenice method for the estimation of sex. *J Forensic Sci* 2002;47:19-24.
32. Walrath DE, Turner P, Bruzek J. Reliability test of the visual assessment of cranial traits for sex determination. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2003;125:132-7.
33. Steyn M, Pretorius E, Hutten L. Geometric morphometric analysis of the greater sciatic notch in South Africans. *Homo* 2004;54(3):197-206.

Additional information and reprint requests:  
Veronica L. Vance, Ph.D.  
Oregon State Police Forensic Services Division/  
Portland Metro Laboratory  
13309 SE 84th Avenue Suite 200  
Clackamas, OR 97015  
E-mail: [nici.vance@state.or.us](mailto:nici.vance@state.or.us)